

**Instructors**

Bryony Walmsley

Peter Tarr

**Training Course**

8 EIA Guide & Review

Porto 12

Q1. List some worthwhile things you learned and did during the course.	Q2. Identify some things which helped you to work effectively at this course.	Q3. List some things which kept you from being more effective.	Q4. Rate your overall satisfaction with the course. (Very dissatisfied 0, very satisfied 10)	Q5. Rate the following aspects of the course. (Very good 1, Good 2, Poor 3, Very Poor 4)						Q6. Other comments or suggestions with regard to the course, the venue, accommodations or facilities.	Q7. Suggestions. What courses would you like to see given at future conferences? Feel free to add suggestions about how IAIA could improve its training programs, whether at conferences, through the Internet, or other means.
				Subject approach.	Teaching methods.	Course material.	Lecturer's knowledge & teaching skills.	Practical exercises.	Course timeframe.		
Screening, scoping, trends in EA quality, EMP	Public consultation. External review.	Environmental management plant. Biotechnical environment.	9		2	2	1	1	2	The venue could be better. It was very cold.	In the future I would like to learn something about strategic environmental assessment.
Tools for consistent EIA review.	Provision of documents for review prior to training.	Time constraints (large group = more questions and interaction, which can reduce time available for every individual to be interactive!).	10	1	1	1	1	1		The larger the class, the more time is needed.	
I got to know some good reviewers. I hope I will have the time to use the material at the CD.	The advice of keeping phones and computers off.	My language skills (English) held me back.	7		2	2	1	2	2	Poor acoustics.	I would like to have more advice on how I can become a better reviewer. Small tips that can help me improve my skills.
To conduct best practice EIA review by paying attention to detail. Continuous improvement of the EIA commission with reviews. Need to integrate environmental social issues in EIA report.	Case study proved inadequacy of info and synergy. Hands on practical problem matter for EIA. Relations building between consultants, proponent and beneficiaries and industries.	N/A	8	2	2	2	2	2	2	This is a hands on applied and customized QA EIA course beneficial to all. Link EIA + SIA. Long term Exp. Hands on. Adequate.	Sustainable development. Stakeholder engagement. Public participation for quality EIAs.

What are the problem related to EIA and how to solve the problems. How to use EIA review form to impose quality of EIA.	Group work with clear instruction on task.		7	1	1	2	1	2	2	QA in EIA should also include the assessment decision on Impact. (not just information). The accommodation is a bit far from the venue of training. The venue of the meeting is good but sometimes noisy from outside.-	Risk assessment. How to make a good decision on EIA approval process.
How to analyze, review and EIA report with focus on essentials. How to analyze a good ToR for an EIA.	A good communication from instructors. A good working environment.		9	1	1	1	1	2	2		Conferences are the best way to communicate IAIA should continue on that way.
How to set or guide ToRs review scoping reports, EIA reports and EMPS.	The group work was a good tool and made me to effectively work, the facilitators clarity when delivering the course work was another factor.	It would have been better if the group work and areas of concentration were determined before coming to Porto. See explanation in 7.	8	1	1	2	1	2	3	Logistical arrangement open arrival of delegates needs improvement.	In this training course, there was need for participants to practice how to review an EIA. Sections were given, to groups, but the members did not have enough time to read the document, next time it would be good to divide participants before arrival and tell them what to concentrate on.
Evaluation form and how to use it. What should be included in ToRs. What is a good EIA.	Sending the document prior to the course which helped in reviewing and understanding it.	Time for questions and answers.	8	2	2	2	1	2	2	More time is needed. Teaching of basic knowledge should be minimized e. g. the first day was taken up by basic principles. More case studies needed, more practice, role plays, etc.	Risk assessment in projects. Cost benefit analysis for various projects. Public participation.
EIA review, networking.	Group discussions.	Time.	8	2	1	2	1	2	1	Good.	Diversity in impact assessment: Different value systems/Different approaches input assessment is a global concept. Recognize local/individual approaches to issues.

External review of EIA. EIA phasing esp need for good scoping and ToR.	Course material was very helpful. Instructors were knowledgeable about the subject.	Pace of course-more time RQD.	8	2	1	1	1	2	3	Course is generally good. Venue and facilities a bit lacking - but this is Porto so understandable.	I think more specialist courses would be better. E.g. a course on waste mgt methods/technology air pollution control/modeling, etc. Some of these courses are like typical university modules. Thank you*merci*gratias*
Insights about consultants in preparing, disseminating and negotiating EIAs.	Good presentation. Good selection of participants, representing different partners and stakeholders in the EIA process.		8	1	2	2	1	2	2	The need for integration of more 'social' items in the EIA process.	Presentations on countries' regulatory systems in relation to EIA process. Comparative slides of countries' regulations.
Systematic review process for EIA, approaches to synthesizing and limit reports to main EIA report.	Being able to provide examples. Case study, exercise.	Not enough interaction on 1st day, too hard listening.	9	1	2	1	1	2	1	Perhaps more exercises on the 1st day. The room could have been more 'intimate' e.g. setup for training.	Environmental management plans. Environmental monitoring.
Learnt about the review reporting. Enjoyed team/group work on second day of training.	Clarity of presentations, participatory approach delegate time (2 days) for theory.	Case study document was too voluminous.	8	1	2	2	1	1	1	Chairs not too suitable for long time sitting hence backache at some points.	Not too much interaction between course attendants until break period.
Group case study on EIA review. How to review EIA with QA form.	Availability of material, form. Advance eval of course material.	None.	9	1	1	2	1	2	3	Organizers should provide internet facilities on conference site.	QA for specific. E.g. Extractive industry. Manufacturing. Service sector.
Important issues connected with revision of EIA process, opinions and problems of other participants.	Good course materials, good atmosphere during the course, interesting subject, a lot of practical issues.		10	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Synchronizing in EIA. Using a review checklist.	Detailed explanations. Figurative illustrations.	Limited time during presentations. Questions and comments were ltd due to ltd course timeframe.	8	2	2	2	2	1	3	Good facilities and arrangement (satisfactory).	Course: Strategic environmental assessment (SEA). Suggestions: Give the training course but longer time so that it's full exhausted.
Scoping and EIA review methods, Tor generation.	Group discussion.	Lecturing skills, open discussions and the group presentation.	8	1	1	1	1	2	2	Course - oil. Accommodation - should be improved. Venue - excellent. Meal - Include African dishes.	Closure, and decommissioning estimate course.

The methodology that I've earned. The exercise. The different opinions.	The organization (very good). The accommodations (very good). The sound system (very poor).	I think all were effective and helpful.	10	1	1	1	1	2	2	No comments. All very good.	This course could be done again, more advances.
Group exercise. Case study.	Review checklist. Instructor's guidance and own evaluation of the example EIA.	The case study (EIA) too long for the allocated time.	9	1	1	2	1	2	2	Thank you for a wonderful course! Use shorter case studies. Use more case studies.	Environmental management frameworks. Issues related grievance and redress mechanisms. Risk management. Make cases available on IAIA website.
Evaluation of impacts of mitigating resources. External review of EIA report.	Availability of course materials a hard copy - it was easy to follow presentation.	Short time allocation for course - so much to be discussed but little time. QA of specialists studies and public consultation.	9	2	2	2	2	3	3	The EIA document could be circulated before beginning of course and groups allocated for easy and faster review.	Public consultation success course. Delegates could be accommodated at the conference center for at least close to venue for time management. A cold snack for lunch on a cold day (yesterday) was not so good. Include African/Asian meals or choices. Provide print outs for group work for easy coordination.
The importance of public participation and public consultation. The importance of good ToR. The importance of a good external review.	Interactive course: people could really express opinions and ask questions. Practical approach: good example with reviewing EIA although it was difficult agreeing with so many different opinions.	Reporting the group findings was too dull. There may be other ways to summarize findings.	8	1	1	1	1	2	1	Coffee should have been available at the venue. Too far away from coffee break area. A printed version of the review form should have been given to participants.	
Review method. The way the question are conducted to have a final opinion.	Good guidance from the instructors. Language. PowerPoint presentation (need more illustration).	PowerPoint presentation (need more illustration).	8	1	2	2	2	2	2	Good. I liked it.	More on EIA and SEA. EIA being well explored but there is still a space for more.
EIA processes. ToR's who prepares ToR. How to review EIA's.	The lectures was very interesting and self explanatory.	None.	10	1	1	1	1	2	2	The course, venue, accommodations are very okay.	Quality assurance in EIA.
Some concerns arrived during conversations, even we are coming from different countries.	Take notes of the main ideas and objectives. Conversation, discussion between us.	Read and get the main concepts, ideas and then the details.	8	2	2	2	2	2	2	Good facilities.	This is one course has to be given in the future. Practical issues are very important.

During the course I learn about the ToRs (Terms of Reference) and about the ERP. I learned too that an EIA its very important.	Effectively this course will help me in review.		7	2	2	2	2	2	2		I think IAIA can implement too biofuels who future conference.
Project description. Public participation.	Too much concerned with out energy organization, project description is helped to work effectively.	Since all the courses are related to environmental management, we have to follow the instructions.	10	1	1	1	1	1			
The first day of the course was outstanding. Very well prepared and enriching about the EIA process, public participation, ethics in consultancy and EIA review.	The presentation in paper.	I think the first day was too hurshie and if any material (despite the case) was given in advance it would be better.	8	1	2	2	1	2	2	I didn't like the way that the second day was conduce. I would like to have chosen in which area I was going to work and so it separately. I think the theory would have more time and maybe a manual or text delivered before and the practical needs change.	Something about: SEA, cumulative impacts and synergy, follow up, EMP.
EIA reviewing form - proceed to be a very important tool. That it is important to check of side effects of mitigation measures.	Availability of material/had outs. Good working environment (venue). Good presenters.	None.	9	2	2	1	1	1	2		I think current set up fully meets my expectation, although I would like to attend more courses each conference.
Systemic review of EIAs. Informed decision making in EIA review.	Development of review formats. Through nature of course.	Lethargy due to longer periods of time.	8	1	2	2	1	2	2	Venue is not comfortable to conduct a course.	Sector specific EIA course like dams, run of river hydropower projects and mining etc. Conduction EIAs, review of EEIAs from regulator view and independent review of EIA.
Understanding the way things work in other countries. Case study.	Course material. Other delegates.	Timeframes - a little short for work undertake.	9	1	1	2	1	2	2.5	Room quite a distance from tea/lunch so it only short time available use a fair bit as it going to/from. Maybe in auditorium tea/coffee could be in room.	

How to write good EIA reports. What to look out for in reviewing EIA reports. EIA processes and some regulations in other countries and I can apply to mine.	Writing material. Open interactive discussions. Clear legible presentations.	Long hours sitting down and the cold environment made me sleepy.	9	1	1	1	1	2	2	More delegates especially from government bodies (law makers) should be encouraged to apply for and attend these courses. The venue, accommodation and facilities were good.	I suggest that risk assessment in environment and social impact assessment should be added. This enables a developer to understand why it is necessary for mitigation measures of impacts to be implemented and this will force the developers to cost and implement the mitigation measures.
<b>AVERAGE</b>											
Q4. (Very dissatisfied 0, very satisfied 10)			<b>8.52</b>								
Q5. Detailed (Very good 1, Good 2, Poor 3, Very Poor 4)				<b>1.31</b>	<b>1.48</b>	<b>1.61</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>1.81</b>	<b>2.02</b>		
Q5. General average			<b>1.57</b>								