## IAIA13 Training Course #9 QUALITY ASSURANCE IN EIA: GUIDE AND REVIEW

Instructors John Pallett: john.pallett@saiea.com

## 100 % above 7

Nothing comes to mind.

"Threshold" for recommending the course again is 80%

Q1. List 2 or 3 worthwhile things you learned and did during the course. Q2. Identify 2 or 3 things which helped you to work effectively at this course.	ings which ectively at this	Q3. List 2 or 3 things which kept you from being more effective.	Q4.Rate your overall satisfaction with the course by circling the appropriate number. (Very dissatisfied 0, very satisfied 10)	Comment	the co appropr	ourse p iate co od 2, G	utting lumn.	y an X . (Ex 3, Poo	spects of ( in the cellent 1, or 4, Very	<ul> <li>Q6. Other comments or</li> <li>Suggestions with regard to the</li> <li>course, the venue, accommodations</li> <li>or facilities.</li> </ul>
	Q2. Identify 2 or 3 t helped you to work eff course.				Trainer(s)'s knowledge of the subject.	Trainer(s)'s teaching skills and methods.	Course materials.	Practical exercisess.	Time available for content of the course.	
main things of EIA report. Applicability of examples in										
own work. How process stats.	Group work. Trainers. Materials.		10		1	1	1	1	1	
Quick thinking, teamwork, reviewing methods.	The review checklist. Enthusiasm of the trainers. Experience from fellow trainees.	The case study was excellent, but some more time would be nice.	10	The trainers were very good and the presented materials will be very useful in my work.	1	2	1	2	3	The facility was very cold sometimes So there is work to be done regardir the temperature.
I have learnt the steps involved in EIA and have to review EIA report.	What actually made me to work effectively in this course is the way the teachers were presented and the explanation was fine.	I None.	10	The confidence will help me improve my skills in the area of Impact Assessment.	2	2	2	2		The venue was very good and even the facilities. I would suggest that next time TOR should also be taugh and reviewed.
Going through the EIA review in a group setting. Exchange ideas, experience, good practice	The course material that was provided. The high level of	I would have liked to have more time in the course to look at least one more	10	Assessment.				2		
with other practitioners. Use of practical tools in EIA review. Practical approaches to reviewing EA reports. Exercise assessment on how to review an EIA report very educative.	with the presenters. Interactive session, made the course effective. Hands on exercises and practical examples very effective.	case study. None that I can think of.	9		1	2	2	1		A venue with windows would be nice more time should be given for the exercises since this is very importan for a course of this nature. Practice makes one not only perfect but more confident at tones way of providing QA in EIA reports.
Desetient te sterne d	Project perspective and realistic			Excellent job! Would be great to spend more time on other case studies.						

However it is

understandable that it is

9 impossible to cover all.

Practical tools and

approaches to EIA review.

Exercise an actual review.

discussions as opposed to

appreciated.

academics are rare and much

The importance of ( )		1				r			
	Bood mix of instructor info day 1			Great mix of instruction					
	nd practical group work in day			from knowledgeable					
	! Opportunity to ask questions			person with practical			~		
	it any time.		9	group talk.	1	1	2	2	2 Very much enjoyed course.
Broke down EIA into									
essential basic elements.									
Learned about different									
practices and shared		Could have used some							
challenges from a variety of		examples of completed							
organizations. Learned		EIA reviews. Could have							
	Concrete, specific and factual	used a little instruction on							
	•	providing feedback, but		Very good considering					Would definitely recommend (and will
EIA process. cł	harts.	time was short.	9	the short time.	1	2	2	1	3 to colleagues).
									The course generally was great. But
									next time it should consider
									discussing the quality of identifying
How to evaluate the quality				The course went very					the impacts and how they should be
EIA report. Tools for a () In	nteractively. Good teaching			well actually I get what I					discussed and the methodology of the
a good EIA. m	naterials. Nice instructors.	None.	9	expected and a lot more.	1	1	1	2	3 impact identification.
				The trainers/facilitators					
Quality assurance is key in				are quite knowledgeable					
environmental impact TI	he EIA review checklist is quite			about EIA on aspects of					
	letailed and invokes scrutiny of			Quality Assurance that					
	he EIA report. The			could help a seamless					Other than the cold in the training
	andouts/() was quite			and exhaustive EIA					rooms, the rooms had the () for the
. ,	nformative.	Cold () room.		process.	1	1	2	2	2 training.
I learnt how to review EIA									If possible the use of economic
projects more competently.									instruments in EIA could be
	he trainers are very			The course was					incorporated into this course. The
of public participation and its kr		Time constraint (two-days		thoroughly instructed and					course could be run/instructed for 3-
	Broup work and discussion.	are not enough).	Q	very interactive.	1	2	2	3	3 days.
		are not enough).	5	very interactive.	1	2	2	5	The course was generally very well
Quality of a good EIA. How									handled. Quite interactive and
	he course study examples.	Time for the practical							informative. The venue and facilities
	The practical review in day 2.	session.	0		2	4	2	2	3 were perfect too.
	The practical review in day 2.		9		2	1	2	2	
									The venue do not have adequate
									chairs on the first day. The course is
Mada of assures delivery									very good for EIA practitioners.
	Bood learning environment.	News				0	~		Impacts predictions methods and
Group work. Networking. C	Charisma of the trainers.	None.	9	Luce method - C-C- double	1	2	2	1	2 analysis needs to be emphasized.
Linux (a ann aban 1 - 1 - 1				I was pretty satisfied with					
How to synchronize impact				the course, it was worth					
assessment with project life				the journey for me and I					
cycle. A good TOR is the				have definitely got the					
<b>U</b>	nteractive nature of the course.			information and					
work on EIA review.	Course work CD's.		8	knowledge I need.	1	1	1	2	3 Generally, very satisfactory.
		First day was somewhat		Great course! Interesting					
		abstract. First day too		to put EIA in such a					The windowless room left something
Using case study template.		much material rushed.		global context. Well					to be desired. Overall great trainers
Applying examples to	Group work. Template.	much material rushed. Would loved to critically evaluate a bad ToR.		global context. Well made template. I will definitely use it.					to be desired. Overall great trainers and great course. Would definitely 2 recommend it.

Discussion on EIA trend in		1								
		Dov 1 is your boows								Would be interacting to have more
quality and env. Legal	The practical methodology and	Day 1 is very heavy,								Would be interesting to have more
0 1	1 0,	maybe options to break								case studies from other geographical
,	, , ,	up with a practical								regions. IAIA largely excludes good
EIA.	useful.	exercise mid way.	8		1	2	1	2	2	examples from Latin America.
Bad ideas lean to										
	Course schedule and tea breaks									
lead to weak EIAs.	were consistent. Seating									
Consideration of project	arrangements were very									
alternatives are very	important creating an all									
important across board for	inclusive ambience. Provision of									More time can be allocated for review
EIAs.	water.	None.	8		1	1	2	2	3	of case studies.
										The course was quiet interactive,
Learned to do a detailed				The course has actually						easily to understand and provides
review of EIA reports. I				provided hands on tools						tools for quality assurance, but if the
learnt of the review of				to use for EIA quality						time was added, the interactions
	Internetive network of the second			control. Which can be						
3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Interactive nature of the course.	The Grand of the surroutine b								would have been perfect. All the
5	Good case studies. The	The time of the practical		easily adapted on a case						same, I am so satisfied as I am eager
	simplicity of the course material.	exercise was a bit short.	8	by case basis.	1	1	1	1	2	to make use of the templates.
Use of checklist template.		Broad audience meant								
	Excellent presentation.	that was less specific to								
	Handouts too.	my area of work.	8		1	1	2	3	2	Smaller groups for group work.
The use of review form.										
The external review peer										
system and leading	Course materials provided in			Enable us to know the						No free Wi-Fi provided at the venue.
consultants. The set up of	advance. Clear outline of the			latest trend of quality						Not enough high taste for lunch time.
registration.	training course.		8	assurance and the tools.	1	2	2	3	3	Food is good.
	5									5
	Different people from different									
	organizations came together to									
	share knowledge. The way in			The course was well						
	which the course was organized.		7	articulated, and effective.	2	2	2	1	3	
The work issue =	which the course was organized.		1	articulated, and ellective.	2	2	2	1	3	
	More examples () from various									
	<b>U</b>	case study. More	_			_	_			
in practice.	issues.	emphasis in significance.	1	Good course.	1	3	-		3	The exercise was too long.
							2			
AVERAGE										
Q4. (Very dissatisfied 0,										
very satisfied 10)			8.64							
Q5. Detailed (Excellent 1,							ſ			
Very good 2, Good 3, Poor										
4, Very poor)					1.14	1.55	1.65	1.82	2.41	
Q5. General average		i i	1.71			-	<u> </u>	1		