

IAIA13 Training Course #4

INNOVATIVE USES OF SEA: STRATEGIC SUSTAINABILITY APPROACHES

Instructor
Maria Partidario: mrp@civil.ist.utl.pt

100 % above 7
"Threshold" for recommending the course again is 80%

Q1. List 2 or 3 worthwhile things you learned and did during the course.	Q2. Identify 2 or 3 things which helped you to work effectively at this course.	Q3. List 2 or 3 things which kept you from being more effective.	Q4. Rate your overall satisfaction with the course by circling the appropriate number. (Very dissatisfied 0, very satisfied 10)	Comment	Q5. Rate the following aspects of the course putting an X in the appropriate column. (Excellent 1, Very good 2, Good 3, Poor 4, Very poor 5)					Q6. Other comments or suggestions with regard to the course, the venue, accommodations or facilities.
					Trainer(s)'s knowledge of the subject.	Trainer(s)'s teaching skills and methods.	Course materials.	Practical exercises.	Time available for content of the course.	
New concepts: Strategic thinking. Support to decision making.	The trainer's leadership. The class commitment.	Time restriction.	10		1	1	1	1	3	
Differentiate the conventional EIA, EIA based SEA and a real SEA. Strategic thinking. Communication and participation and it's importance to the valuable SEA.	Very good atmosphere and warm participation of all participants. Perfect leadership of the tutor.	None.	10	Discussions and material made me excited and inspired me a lot. I was inspired.	1	1	1	2	2	
Campus not linear. Strategic and not EIA type.	Effective and class directions. Lively and intelligent group.	N/A.	9	I am going out with a much better understanding of SEA.	1	2	2	2	3	Well organized, excellent facilities.
Group discussion. Case studies.	Great teacher that engaged participants. International group with different perspectives.		9		1	1	2	2	3	Well done! I would recommend to others.
To approach SEA with emphasis on STRATEGY.			9		1	2	2	2	1	
Sustainability approaches. CDF methods. Focus o goal setting.	The pre-readings. Case studies.	Some participants seemed to be too junior for advanced course. Perhaps they didn't do the readings. So much material, not enough time for full discussions.	9	Excellent!	1	2	2	2	3	Disappointed with bagged lunch. Too much plastic and waste. Sandwiches in non-recyclable packages. Excellent facilities and staff.
New ways to convince people of the importance of SEA. Learned innovative ideas. Learned how things are being done in other countries.	Instructor very knowledgeable. The group was diverse and interesting.	Needed more time.	9	Very interesting. We have new ideas.	1	1	2	1	3	Wishing we had more time.
Sharing experiences.	Cooperative approach to course with group task.	Time available to review material in advance. Sometimes time available - lot of useful discussion.	8		1	1	2	2.5	2	Very useful to continue links and follow up.

Strategic thinking model to SEA. Different school of thought.	Case studies: Uganda case and the Ring of Fire case. To be innovative when defining the Critical Determining Factor.		8		1	2	2	1	2
SEA as a strategic development tool. The process of SEA.	(...) instructions. (...) experiences (...) other participants.	Time allowed for completion of case studies. (...) of words.	8	More interaction; (...) will be needed to firm ad make issues learnt more (...)	1	2	2	3	4
Opportunities/risks. Earlier engagement is best - objectives/drivers.	Took. Process.	Needed more group work. Time management of instructor.	8		1	1	2	2	3
How to conduct an SEA. Innovative uses of SEA.	Group discussion. Case studies.	Time.	8	The time for learning was not enough.	1	2	2	2	1
How SEA can effectively improve decision-making. The use of SEA in formulation of policies.	Examples provided during training. Group discussion and assignments.	Time to short to cover topics effectively.	8		1	1	2	3	3
Innovative practices VS (...) practices.	Allowing time to ask questions and discussions. Different case studies that gave us experiences from other countries.		8	More case studies needed than theory.	2	2	3	3	2
Applied methodology - group work. Strategic mind-mapping and moving others thinking away from linear ESIA based SEA.	Case studies - more please! Talking with wide range of international and sector representation.	Not enough case studies. More info on private sector uses of SEA.	7		1	3	3	3	4
AVERAGE									
Q4. (Very dissatisfied 0, very satisfied 10)			8.53						
Q5. Detailed (Excellent 1, Very good 2, Good 3, Poor 4, Very poor)					1.07	1.60	2.00	2.10	2.60
Q5. General average			1.87						