

IAIA14 Training Course #8

QUALITY ASSURANCE IN EIA: GUIDE AND REVIEW

Instructor
Peter Tarr

peter.tarr@saiea.com

92% 7 or higher

"Threshold" for recommending the course again is 80% of 7 or higher

Q1. List 2 or 3 worthwhile things you learned and did during the course.	Q2. Identify 2 or 3 things which helped you to work effectively at this course.	Q3. List 2 or 3 things which kept you from being more effective.	Q4. Rate your overall satisfaction with the course by circling the appropriate number. (Very dissatisfied 0, very satisfied 10)	Comment	Q5. Rate the following aspects of the course putting an X in the appropriate column. (Excellent 1, Very good 2, Good 3, Poor 4, Very poor 5)					Q6. Other comments or suggestions with regard to the course, the venue, accommodations or facilities.
					Trainer(s)'s knowledge of the subject.	Trainer(s)'s teaching skills and methods.	Course materials.	Practical exercisess.	Time available for content of the course.	
If environmental authority still have some doubts, send EIA representative to review (by other consultants).The use of a checklist for review of EIA.	If the environmental authority still have doubt, send report to independent consultants for review. The methodology. Training material.	The clear slides and the expertise of the facilitator.	9	I have learned a lot during this training course.	2	3	3	2	3	
How to write a TOR, the steps for decision making continuum, the pisa and eiffel problem and solution. How to review an EIA report.	The modality of the course structure. The group session was very interesting. It was very interactive, it provided a platform to shape and exchange experiences.	Time- we need at least three days, if we had more time we would have learned a lot more.	10	I have learned a lot of new things, I believe I am some what capable of going back home and providing advice and suggstion where EIA is concerned.	1	1	1	1	3	Venue - good but the light in the room was not enough.
Tools to check the adequacy of EIAs. How to implement these tools.	Real case studies. To have answers to our questions.		9		1	1	1	1	1	
Best EIA practices. Key issues when reviewing EIA reports.	Case studies. Presentation.		10		1	1	1	1	2	I would suggest more time for reviewing the case subject to practical exercise,
Challenge regulation and practice in my own country. Learn from fruitful exchange with others in the same boat.	Breaks in presentation to discuss topics presented with thought provoking questions.	Printouts of slide with a max of four slides on each page would be helpful.	10	Really great course, advanced level discussions.	1	2	2	1	2	
Good TOR. Templates. Good discussions.	Good review template, Good course atmosphere.	EIA should have been sent out beforehand instead of asking us to bring our own EIAs, and asking us to bring our own laptops.	8	Very useful and inspiring. I can take back home stuff that will improve my work day.	1	1	2	3	2	Make sure proper infomation and course material is sent out before the course starts. I carry the EIA in my bag, which was asked for on the website for this course...
The template for EIA review, Key issues to consider in the EIA review.	Lecture presentation and discussion. Pratical review of EIA report-case study.		3		1	1	2	1	3	The course was very useful in general, especially for the people involved in EIA reveivs in their areas of work.

Preparing terms of reference, Critically reviews of EIA. Evaluation of the benefits of an EIA.	Team work. Excellent instruction. Time keeping.	More individual participation/lack of. Short time.	9	Excellent instruction, Excellent case studies/relevant.	1	1	2	2	3	Generally good.
Elements of a good TOR. Elements of a bad TOR and how to avoid them.	Structured yet flexible approach to review. Practical examples.	Longer course!	8	I've given the course an 8+ as I believe there is the opportunity to go into more detail but not sufficient time on a two day course.	1	1	1	1	3	Venue- okay but not idea (natural light would have been better). Larger cups for coffee-not thimbles! What about a longer "masterclass"?
All countries have a similar process of EIA. It is more important to use external reviewer.	Checklist for review EIA.		10	I improved my knowledges about EIA review and it will be useful for my job.	1	1	1	1	1	
I learned to use a checklist to review EIA. I learned about the liscencing process.	The instructor's organization. The material prepared by the instructor.	The course was very effective for me.	10		1	1	2	1	1	
Reviewing EIA should be done by a multiplidicinary team. Not every project can be subjected to an EIA. Can be EMP.	Presentation skills of the facilitator, Group work discussions.	What to be covered (course content) was not communicated earlier on	8	There are some aspects of the EIA review process which I didn't know but now do.	2	2	2	2	3	There should have been many reports (EIA) for pratical review.
AVERAGE			8.67							
Q4. (Very dissatisfied 0, very satisfied 10)										
Q5. Detailed (Excellent 1, Very good 2, Good 3, Poor 4, Very poor)					1.17	1.33	1.67	1.42	2.25	
Q5. General average			1.57							