

Republic of Namibia Ministry of Lands and Resettlement



Environmental Assessment in Regional Planning

A case example of the Zambezi Region in Namibia

IAIA 2015

Florence, 20.-23. April 2015

Ulrich Scheffler, Ministry of Land Reform, Namibia

Ulrike Tröger, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit, Germany

Content

Introduction Integrated Regional Land Use Planning Strategic Environmental Assessment Ecosystem Services Assessment 'For the promotion of the welfare of the people, the Government of the Republic of Namibia actively aims, [...] for the maintenance of ecosystems, essential ecological processes and biological diversity and the utilization of living natural resources on a sustainable basis for the benefit of all Namibians.'

Namibia – Setting the Scene





Preparation and administration of IRLUP process

Integrated Land Use Planning

Establishment of Regional Planning GIS

Strategic Environmental Assessment

Monitoring

Implementation Stages

- Preparation of IRLUP
- Set up IRLUP task force
- Process supervision / logistics support
- Regional inventory
- Regional strategic planning
- Local level planning
- Data needs analysis, data collection
- Baseline analysis
- Spatial analysis
- Scoping
- Environment assessment (single initiatives)
- Environmental assessement (cumulative impact)
- Ecosystem services assessment
- Set-up monitoring & review team
- Progress review

Zambezi Integrated Regional Land-use Plan



Environmental Management Act, 2007

• Plans, Programs and Policies (PPP) prepared by an organ of state which may have an significant environmental impact are subject to SEA

Practice based on the OECD-DAC guidelines

- OECD-DAC: Applying Strategic Environmental Assessment Good practice guidance for development co-operation, DAC Guidelines and Reference Series, 2006
- No specific regulations on the application of strategic environmental assessment (SEA) in place yet
- Environmental impact assessment (EIA) regulations are used to conduct strategic environmental assessments in Namibia

Which information does it provide?

'SEA is a decision-making tool aiming at better information decisions, to promote sustainable development' (TOR ZamIRLUP, 2013)

- Key issues
- *Linkage* between bio-physical, social and economic aspect
- Non-sustainable development (socially, economically or environmentally) \rightarrow red flag
- *Positive* development options \rightarrow green light
- Options for more *beneficial* land use types, development initiatives, etc.
- *Ecosystem services* (pilot approach in Namibia)



Cumulative water consumption for irrigation projects

Abstraction at a dangerously high proportion of the low flow volume in October to December. Downstream states might be disadvantaged in hydropower and irrigation projects of their own.

Shifting agriculture by household-level farmers

Low yields, vulnerability to weather extremes (long dry periods), and the relatively large fields are difficult to protect against wildlife damage.

The decline of the fish resources of Zambezi Region

Over-exploitation of the fishery resources is gradually getting more intensive.

Fires

Destruction of the woodland resources, with negative impact on the wildlife and livestock in that habitat.

Comments from participants during presentation of draft Zambezi IRLUP

SEA is lacking statistics.

SEA is biased towards tourism.

We have problems harmonising farmers, tourism and conservation. The GRN is heavily subsidizing agriculture and production is poor.

We have so many wildlife. We don't need to irrigate wildlife, wildlife attracts tourists. We can get a lot more from that in this region. This is a drought year; livestock, humans and fish will die. Why will tourism survive?

> We are not getting anything from tourism. We can stand by Agriculture.

Ecosystem services assessment concept

- methodological consistency
- framework for quantification of ecosystem services
- identification of beneficiaries of ecosystem services
- **q**uantification of the social and/or economic values of ecosystem services
- identification and quantification of development opportunities and constraints
- identification of winners and losers when assessing different development options



Ecosystem Services



Provisioning services

food, fuel/energy, water, genetic resource, medicinal, building material

Regulatory and supporting services

air quality, climate, water and flood egulation, buffering, erosion control, soil formation, nutrient recycling, disease control, pollination, natural hazard regulation

Cultural services

cultural & spiritual, recreation & tourism, aesthetic & sense of place, employment/business, climate change resilience, science & education

Process of ecosystem services assessment



main ecosystems in the planning area

ecosystem services



- estimated value, beneficiaries, current state and trends of usage
- opportunities, synergies, threats
- □ drivers of change
- policy adjustment



- conclusion of ongoing ecosystem services assessment in Zambezi
- reviewing developed methodology of ES assessment
- integration of ES into SEA and into land-use planning process
- piloting method during next IRLUPs
- feeding experience into the SEA process in Namibia



Many thanks for your attention

for further questions

Ulrich Scheffler, <u>ulrich.scheffler1@cimonline</u> Ulrike Tröger, <u>ulrike.troeger@giz.de</u>

