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What is sustainability?
What is sustainability?
What is sustainability?

People, planet and profit.

Sustainability is the connector of any enterprise’s bottom line initiatives.
Sustainability Check: Multi faceted nature of sustainability
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Sustainability Check: Multi facetted nature of sustainability
Different purposes of the Sustainability Check:

a) checklist

- The Sustainability Check is a digital tool, which consists out of twelve sustainability indicators (based on P-P-P).

b) comparing alternatives

- It is intended to stimulate awareness and debate around sustainability in a structured way with the stakeholders involved.

- It helps to focus on the problem(s) in the area rather than on the project.

c) consistency between stages
Different tools compared:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sustainability Check</th>
<th>Cost Benefit Analysis</th>
<th>Environmental Impact Assessment</th>
<th>BREEAM (infra or area)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functional scope</strong> (content of assessment)</td>
<td>Broad, 12 themes clustered around economic, social and environmental issues</td>
<td>Primarily economic benefits and costs. To certain extent also issues such as energy and materials used, ecology, wellbeing</td>
<td>Primarily environmental themes, although often also social and sometimes economic issues included</td>
<td>Broad range of environmental and social themes, minor attention to project finances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantitative or qualitative measures</strong></td>
<td>Qualitative indication</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Usually primarily quantitative, sometimes also qualitative</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Testing and/or generating alternatives</strong></td>
<td>Testing and generation of alternatives</td>
<td>Primarily testing alternatives</td>
<td>Primarily testing alternatives</td>
<td>Primarily testing, more specifically rating. Applicable in early stages for comparing alternatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attention to process in assessment</strong></td>
<td>Explicitly developed as a tool for facilitating discussion and collective decision-making</td>
<td>Until now very little (process influence is currently being researched, see Beukers)</td>
<td>Originally mostly content, but last decade much attention for process</td>
<td>The instrument is a means for discussing sustainability in a common language</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Position Sustainability Check:

A “hybrid” instrument between analytical and process tool:

- No quantitative outcome like analytical tools (sCBA or EIA)
- Gives more course, ambition and structure than a process tool

**Analytical tool**

- Social Cost-Benefit Analysis
- Environmental Impact Assessment

**Sustainability Check**

**Process tool**

- Stakeholder involvement
- Participatory instruments
Strategic Regional Development Agenda Zeeland *Strategic (SEA) level*

- Describes what 12 themes imply for spatial development.
- Results of the Sustainability Check gave insight in different topics that can have conflicting interests when translated into regional spatial development proposals.
- Helps to show the relationships between different spatial problems or initiatives and between strategic and operational decision-making about project proposals.

![Regional development agenda Zeeland](image1)

![Analysis of regional development agenda](image2)
N309 ‘t Harde

- Alternatives are compared: a route through the city centre and some bypasses around the city.
- At first it seemed that redirecting the traffic out of the town-centre would be the best solution.
- SC made clear that the traffic that currently goes through the centre is the largest source of income for small and medium businesses.

Current situation and proposed bypass.

Analysis of bypass proposal
Gerrit Krol bridge, Groningen

- The bridge is the town of Groningen’s biggest traffic bottleneck.
- Sustainability Check highlighted the different issues making them easier to discuss. This increased our understanding of the project.
- All the parties involved now look at the project with that same understanding.

Two different scenarios compared (scope vs ambitions)
### Analysis and results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Weakness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Instrument is simple to use  
• Broadly accepted within NL  
• Applicable in multiple phases of a project, best applicable at the scoping phase  
• Shows which stakeholder(s) should be involved  
• Outcome can be used well for communication | • Only a first impression on how sustainable a project can be  
• Outcome based on expertise of participants (selectiveness); lacks quantitative insights  
• There has to be a development proposal in the area as a starting point  
• Proves to be difficult to assure the outcome for sustainability in following steps and phases |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Threat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Goes beyond silo’s; an integrated approach  
• Much experience has gained with different types of projects and stakeholders  
• Broadly applied at different scales and projects  
• Becoming a standard in the Planning Programming Budgeting system for infra (“MIRT”) | • Only one of many other instruments  
• It is used for almost every purpose; also for processes that don’t fit the tool  
• Discussion on sustainability proves to be a stand-alone goal in discussions about project relevance |

- Check if various aspects of sustainability are addressed.
- The tool also may help to address conflicting interests or connect common goals in an area.
Conclusion

• Application of the Sustainability Check assumes that there is an **ambition** present in the project that goes beyond just meeting legal requirements.

• Important lessons are: **start together** at analyzing the problem(s) in an area (scoping) and work together to find sustainable solution(s). A solid basis for sustainability is not always available: sustainability often has no solid base in the original scope.

• Make **smart combinations of the instruments available** for evaluation and assessment (such as the Sustainability Check, CBA, EIA, BREEAM, etc). This should support the complete cycle of intelligence collection about problem(s), collective design of multiple alternatives, choice for an alternative and careful implementation.
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