MPERA

ARVI tool for impact significance assessment

SYK E Jyri Mustajoki, Mika Marttunen, Vesa Riekkinen **Finnish Environmen**

COORDINATOR

Institute, SYKE P.O. Box 140 FI-00251 Helsinki Finland

Photo: Image bank of the Environmental Administration

IMPERIA Project "Improving Environmental Assessment



by Adopting Good Practices and Tools of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis"

Aim to improve quality, effectiveness and cost-efficiency of EIA and SEA with

RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING THE EIA PROCESS

- More active stakeholder involvement
- Emphasis on systematic scoping and focusing the EIA studies on the most relevant issues
- More transparent and structured approaches for impact significance assessment

NEW TOOLS

- ARVI tool for supporting impact significance assessment
- Questionnaire templates for map-based information collection tool on the Internet

GUIDANCE MATERIAL FOR MAKING ASSESSMENTS AND REPORTING

- Extensive support material for impact significance assessment
- Report on good practices in environmental assessments
- Report on applying MCDA and other structuring tools on EIA

ARVI tool

- Support for using the impact significance assessment framework (Figure 1)
- Framework exploits ideas from national and international frameworks Indicative chart for helping the assessment on the basis of magnitude and sensitivity (Figure 2)

Figure 2. Assessment is based on the sensitivity and magnitude.

Impact significance		Magnitude of change								
		Very high	High	Moderate	Low	No change	Low	Moderate	High	Very hig
	Low	High*	Moderate*	Low	Low	No impact	Low	Low	Moderate*	High*
Sensitivity of the receptor	Moderate	High	High*	Moderate	Low	No impact	Low	Moderate	High*	High
	High	Very high	High	High*	Moderate*	No impact	Moderate*	High*	High	Very high
	Very high	Very high	Very high	High	High*	No impact	High*	High	Very high	Very high

* Especially in these cases, significance might get a lower estimate, if sensitivity or magnitude is near the lower bound of the classification

Figure 3. Main display of the ARVI tool.



- Objectives of the framework to
 - Consider systematically all the various dimensions of the impacts
 - Assure the same assessment principles on each impacts
 - Increase transparency and reasoning of the assessment
- Familiar Excel-based interface for (Figure 3)
 - Facilitating the collection of assessment information from the experts
 - Producing various charts and tables to illustrate the results
- Experiences of using ARVI

UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ

- "Helps to understand and visualize the impacts"
- "Does not necessarily save time, but increases the quality of the assessment"

Example procedure for applying ARVI in practice

- Problem initialized by the project manager
- 2. Separate Excel forms produced by ARVI are filled by the experts (Figure 4)
- 3. Experts' assessments are read to ARVI from the filled forms or are directly given in ARVI
- 4. Collected assessments are analyzed in the main ARVI window (Figure 3)
 - Can be utilized as a background for discussions within the project group
- 5. Versatile visualization of the results for the EIA report including Tables classifying the impacts of the alternatives (Output 1) Matrices illustrating the formation of the impact significance from sensitivity and magnitude (Output 2) Charts illustrating the impacts (Output 3) 6. The ARVI tool and the assessments can be published on the web or can be sent for the EIA authorities

15 1.4 Rocks, soll and water systems	High	LOW	LOW	Low	Low -	LOW	Moderate	Low -	LOW -
16 1.5 Climate and air quality	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low +	Very high	High	Low +	Low +
17 2.1 Land use	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate -	Low	High	Low -	Low -
18 2.2 Landscape	Moderate	Moderate	High	Moderate	Moderate -	Moderate	High	Moderate -	Moderate -
19 2.3 Traffic	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate -	Moderate	Low	Moderate -	Low -
20 2.4 Noise	Low	Moderate	High	Moderate	Moderate -	Low	High	Moderate -	Moderate -
21 2.5 Shadow flashing	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low -	Low	High	Low -	Low -
22 2.6 Relics	High	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate -	Low	Very high	Moderate -	Moderate -
23 2.7 Living conditions	Low	Low	High	Moderate	Moderate -	Moderate	High	Moderate -	Moderate -
24 2.8 Recreational activities	Moderate	Moderate	High	Moderate	Low -	Moderate	High	Low -	Low -
25 2.9 Local economy and employment	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	High +	Moderate	High	Moderate +	Moderate +
26 2.10 Safety	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low -	Low	High	Low -	Low -
Arvi / Help / 1. Project / 2.1 Alternatives & impacts / 2.2 Criteria 3.1 Impact assessments / 3.2 Reasoning / 4. Results / 5. Reference values / 2.2 Criteria 1.1 Impact assessments / 3.2 Reasoning / 4. Results / 5. Reference values / 2.2 Criteria									
Ready 100% - +									

Figure 4. ARVI form for experts.



Miljöministeriet

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY

Ministry of the Environment

Output 1. Classification of impacts by significance.

	Significance	Alternative I	Alternative 2			
	Very high					
Ð	High					
sitiv	Moderate	- Local economy and employment				
Pos	Low	- Climate and air quality	- Local economy and employment			
\$	No impact					
Negative	Low	 Other Animals Rocks, soil and water systems Land use Traffic Shadow flashing Recreational activities Safety 	 Plants and vegetation Birds Rocks, soil and water systems Climate and air quality Land use Traffic Shadow flashing Relics Living conditions Recreational activities Safety 			
	Moderate	 Plants and vegetation Birds Landscape Noise Relics Living conditions 	- Other Animals - Landscape - Noise			
	High					
	Very high					

ARVI is downloadable at Imperia.jyu.fi

The budget of IMPERIA is 1.3 million euros, of which European Union finances 50 %. In addition, Finland's Ministry of Environment and Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry as well as the partner organizations finance the project.

THULE

En l

OULUN YLIOPISTO

UNIVERSITY of OULU

<u>C:</u>____:*t*:_____

Ver.

High Moder. Low No im. Low Moder. High Ver.