AECOM

SEA for local and neighbourhood plans in England

Mark Fessey

Principal Consultant, AECOM

April 2015

This presentation

- Introduction
- Background to SEA for Local Plans and Neighbourhood Plans
- The plan-making / SEA process challenges created by plan-making legislation
- Learning lessons and 'demystifying' the process

Introduction

- Over the past 11 years, AECOM has supported almost 100 local authorities through direct commissions, plus we are the leading providers of support to neighbourhood groups.
- Local Plan-making in England is challenging, given engaged, knowledgeable and often well funded plan objectors.
- Our experience is that plan-makers do not view SEA positively, and are not confident in their ability to discharge requirements.
- Often a concern that a failure to meet SEA requirements will result in the plan 'falling at the last hurdle' (Examination) or being legally challenged post adoption.



Background to SEA for Local Plans

- Through legislation (2004) Local Plans require Sustainability Appraisal (SA), with guidance clarifying that SA should meet the requirements of the SEA Directive.
 - "All references to SA must be taken to include the requirements of the SEA Directive."
 - "An SA which meets the requirements of the SEA Directive should be an integral part of the plan preparation process."
- The SA process = the SEA process. SA is simply SEA undertaken with no starting assumptions re. a substantive focus on environmental issues
- The SEA / SA process is understood from the Regulations (which transpose the Directive almost verbatim), and also guidance.



Background to SEA for Local Plans

 SEA practice must also account for precedents set by Planning Inspectors and legal judgements.

"The evaluation of reasonable alternative options is a fundamental part of plan making. It is necessary for soundness, and a Plan can only be justified when it has been formulated on such a basis. On the whole it is the SA process which performs this function."



PINS, 2014

"If the methodology for choosing the best locations for new development is soundly based, then it follows that the Council's decisions on which sites to allocate should also be sound"



balance between the objective of putting a plan in place with reasonable speed... and the objective of gathering relevant evidence and giving careful and informed consideration to the issues to be

possible alternatives."

determined. The effect of this is that **the planning authority has a substantial area of discretion** as to the extent of the inquiries which need to be carried out to identify the reasonable alternatives which should then be examined in greater detail..."

"Consulting about a proposal does inevitably

involve inviting and considering views about

"As to the substance of the work to be done by a local planning authority in identifying **reasonable**

alternatives for environmental assessment, the

enmeshed with issues of planning judgment,

use of limited resources and the maintenance of a

necessary choices to be made are **deeply**



Supreme court, 2015

High Court, 2014



PINS, 2014

Background to SEA for <u>Neighbourhood</u> Plans

- There is not an automatic requirement for SEA.
- So, there is a need to 'screen' all NPs
 - "Alongside the Neighbourhood Plan, 'qualifying bodies' must submit to the Local Authority either: an environmental report; or where it has been that the plan proposal is unlikely to have significant environmental effects (and, accordingly, does not require an environmental assessment), a statement of reasons for the determination."



The required SEA process



- Essentially, SEA involves influencing plan-making in two ways:
 - 1. Alternatives assessment... informs preparation of the draft plan; and
 - 2. Assessment of the draft plan... and consultation on the draft plan / Env Report... informs plan finalisation.



- Essentially, SEA involves influencing plan-making in two ways:
 - 1. Alternatives assessment... informs preparation of the draft plan; and
 - 2. Assessment of the draft plan... and consultation on the draft plan / Env Report... informs plan finalisation.
- Additional steps / iterations can be appropriate; however, this is not a requirement.



- Essentially, SEA involves influencing plan-making in two ways:
 - 1. Alternatives assessment... informs preparation of the draft plan; and
 - 2. Assessment of the draft plan... and consultation on the draft plan / Env Report... informs plan finalisation.
- Additional steps / iterations can be appropriate; however, this is not a requirement.
- Similarly, it can be appropriate to publish information on issues/ options/ alternatives in order to inform draft plan preparation.





Env Report must answer four questions -

1) What's the scope of the SEA?

2) What has plan-making / SEA involved up to this point?

Including re. assessment of alternatives

3) What are the SEA findings at this stage?

- i.e. in relation to the draft plan
- 4) What happens next?



Env Report must answer four questions -

- 1) What's the scope of the SEA?
- 2) What has plan-making / SEA involved up to this point?
- Including re. assessment of alternatives
- 3) What are the SEA findings <u>at this stage</u>?
- i.e. in relation to the draft plan
- 4) What happens next?



SEA/SA guidance for Local and Neighbourhood Plans



SEA guidance for <u>Neighbourhood</u> Plans

- The Neighbourhood Planning Regulations are sensible.
- They enable a 'classic' plan-making process along the lines of:
 - (1) Consider issues and options;
 - (2) Consult on a draft plan
 - (3) Finalise the plan, giving consideration to alternatives shown to have merit.
- Therefore, the Guidance on SEA for neighbourhood plans is... OK
 - (without wishing to get into the details)



SA guidance for Local Plans

- The Local Planning Regulations are <u>not</u> sensible, and therefore neither is the SA Guidance.
- There is no requirement to hold a *true* draft plan consultation.
- So, what is SEA's role in ensuring 'early, timely and effective opportunities for public participation, when all options are open' in line with SEA / Aarhus requirements?
- More generally, how exactly should SEA feed-in and influence plan-making?



There is a need to demystify what should be a logical and easily understood process (1)

- SEA requirements should be understood as supporting a 'classic' plan-making process that essentially involves 1) Work to prepare a draft plan; 2) Consultation on a draft plan; and then 3) Finalisation of the plan.
- Specifically, the role of SEA is as follows:
 - At (1) there is systematic consideration of 'reasonable alternatives'
 - At (2) an Environmental Report is published alongside the draft plan that provides certain information with a view to informing consultation and plan finalisation.



- This is what the Directive requires, and with a referendum on the horizon advocates of SEA cannot risk accusations of **gold plating the Directive**.
- However, plan-makers (and others involved in plan-making) will understand that it can be appropriate (i.e. necessary for soundness) to build in additional iterative steps.
 - We're not trying to 'make life easier for consultants' or encourage a 'race to the bottom'!

There is a need to demystify what should be a logical and easily understood process (2)

- Greater consistency around terminology and approach to reporting across planmaking contexts would also help (a lot) -
 - Be clear that SEA is a process and the required output is the Environmental Report, and as such do not refer to -
 - 'SEA of [insert name of consultation document]';or
 - '[insert number] of SEAs' having been prepared for a plan
 - Label any output published prior to the draft plan consultation an 'Interim' Environmental Report.
 - Whatever the stage in the process, the report should be structured so as to clearly answer four questions:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTR	ODUCTION	1
1	BACKGROUND	2
2	SA EXPLAINED	2
3	STRUCTURE OF THIS SA REPORT	2
PAR	T 1: WHAT IS THE SCOPE OF THE SA?	4
4	INTRODUCTION (TO PART 1)	5
5	WHAT IS THE PLAN SEEKING TO ACHIEVE?	6
6	WHAT IS THE SUSTAINABILITY 'CONTEXT'?	8
7	WHAT IS THE SUSTAINABILITY 'BASELINE'?	12
8	WHAT ARE THE KEY IS SUES/OBJECTIVES THAT SHOULD BE A FOCUS OF SA?	18
PAR	T 2: WHAT HAS PLAN-MAKING / SA INVOLVED UP TO THIS POINT?	
9	INTRODUCTION (TO PART 2)	23
10	REASONS FOR SELECTING THE ALTERNATIVE DEALT WITH	27
11	ALTERNATIVES APPRAISAL FINDINGS	
12	REASONS FOR SELECTING THE PREFERRED APPROACH	37
PAR	T 3: WHAT ARE THE APPRAISAL FINDINGS AT THIS STAGE?	
13	INTRODUCTION (TO PART 3)	46
14	APPRAISAL OF THE DRAFT LOCAL PLAN	47
15	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AT THIS CURRENT STAGE	76
PAR	T 4: WHAT ARE THE NEXT STEPS (INCLUDING MONITORING)?	
16	INTRODUCTION (TO PART 4)	79
17	PLAN FINALISATION AND ADOPTION	79
18	MONITORING	79
APPE	ENDIX I - REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	81

AECOM

THANK YOU

Mark.fessey@aecom.com