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Rwanda Urban Development Project (RUDP): Overview

- Informal settlement upgrading and accelerating the urbanization process in the City of Kigali and Six Secondary Cities of Rwanda
  - more than 79 unplanned settlement sites are located in the city of Kigali and six secondary cities of Rwanda
  - approximately 66% and 90% of the respective city residents live in informal settlements without required basic infrastructures
  - At least 20% of the informal settlements are removed and urbanization growth rate of 35% is achieved by the year 2024 against 18% as of today

- **RUDP**
  - RUDP is a 10 years project implemented by the GoR under financial support of the World Bank since 2015
  - PDO-Improve access to basic services, enhance resilience and strengthen integrated urban planning and management in the City of Kigali and the six secondary cities of Rwanda

- **Investments** include roads construction and rehabilitation, street lightning, pedestrian walkways and drainage construction
• **Indicators to be used to measure progress against the PDO**
  
  o Number of informal settlements upgraded
  o People provided with improved urban living conditions
  o People in urban areas with access to all-season roads within a 500 m
  o People provided with access to markets, jobs and other social services
  o Cities with approved detailed area plans, incorporating the principles of sustainability
RUDP-related negative impacts and ESIA

- Roads and Drains construction under RUDP aims at improving the living conditions of urban dwellers and informal settlements upgrading
- However, besides positive impacts, any major development project also brings concerns about environmental harm and negative social impacts
- **Potential impacts include:**
  - Disruption of normal life in populated areas: damages and relocation of public services/utilities (roads, water services, electricity, bus stations, access to schools, homes, etc. in a period of time unknown)
  - Community resettlement, spread of communicable disease and economic inequality
  - Waste generated at construction sites which can pollute land and water bodies
  - Cutting of trees and damage to vegetation
  - Road accidents, noise and air pollution among others.
- **Hence, all those negative impacts and many others need to be mitigated**
- **An ESIA study was deemed necessary prior to the civil works commencement**
ESIA legal process and How it helps addressing the problems

ESIA as a requirement

• In many countries, in-depth ESIA with appropriate mitigation measures and ESMP is a required environmental process before the implementation of infrastructure projects such as roads and drains

• The 2018 Environmental law and 2019 ministerial order

• ESIA approval and clearance by the RDB as per the current national environmental legislation

• ESIA approval conditions

Fig. 1: ESIA processes in Rwanda as per the 2018 environmental law and 2019 ministerial order
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gaps/Challenge</th>
<th>Proposed solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ESIA-Generic impacts and mitigation measures</td>
<td>Impacts scrutiny and mitigation measures to be site-specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement and tendering process</td>
<td>- Clarity on ESTCs, ESMP, code of conduct and staffing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Submit a past environmental compliance certificate (ECC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract management and compliance enforcement</td>
<td>- Close follow-up on contractual obligations and their fulfilment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Conduct regular assessments and report on compliance/non-compliance for timely decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Payment to be effected based on proof of environmental and social performance and costs spent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Issue the ECC upon project completion as incentive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Impose fining and Contract termination in case of non-compliance as per established laws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring, supervision, evaluation and reporting</td>
<td>- Development of compliance measurement framework including specific metrics, checklist and template of environmental and social issues/parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Use of technological tools: Geo-Enabling initiative for Monitoring and Supervision (GEMS), web based Environmental Screening Tool and drones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing</td>
<td>- Hiring required project staffs including ESSSs to be permanently based on the project sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental audit</td>
<td>- Independent environmental auditor to undertake a review of the project compliance and environmental audit reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects requirements and national environmental procedures</td>
<td>- Organize regular workshop meetings/training with contractors, project beneficiaries, and local communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project stakeholders involvement and consultations</td>
<td>- Empowering the communities verifiers and affected people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Include the stakeholders in impacts management during the project implementation and post-implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Regular consultations open through existing communication channels: meetings, radio and TV shows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archiving and filing</td>
<td>- Develop a digital archiving system for filing the project documentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

• Gaps hindering the management of the project-related impacts and compliance and enforcement between ESIA approval and project completion have been documented with reference to RUDP and some literature

• Placing value on compliance benefits and devote required costs to deliver a reputational value of the organization and advance positive environmental and social outcomes

• Experience and lessons learned from RUDP can be used as valuable insights for future implementation of similar projects

• Further studies are still required to explore in –depth the extent of ESMPs implementation to measure the project environmental and social performance during the project life cycle
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