

Assessing Cumulative Effects of Scientific Research: A Case Study on Alaska's Steller Sea Lions (SSL)



Anne Southam & Jon Isaacs





SSL Status

Western "Endangered"

Distinct Population Segment (DPS)







\$80,000,000 for Research

- 2000-2001 Congress
 - appropriated \$80 million with direction to perform research into causes of decline of SSLs and,
 - to develop conservation and protective measures to ensure SSL recovery
- Funding = dramatic increase in research
- NMFS challenged with coordinating so much research all at once





Why Are Permits Required for Research?

- Permits allows researchers specific exemptions to the prohibitions on "takes" under the ESA and MMPA
- ESA and MMPA prohibit "takes" of threatened and endangered species and of marine mammals, respectively
 - ESA defines "take" as "to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct."
 - MMPA defines "take" as "to 'harass, hunt, capture, collect or kill, or attempt to harass, hunt, capture, collect or kill any marine mammal."



2005 Humane Society Files Suit

- Alleges NMFS authorized research that could have a significant, irreversible impact on SSLs
- HSUS requested that NMFS:
 - Complete an Environmental Impact Statement on research
 - Enlist independent experts to:
 - identify recovery goals requiring research
 - establish sampling design
 - develop strategic plan to ensure cohesive research approach
 - develop quantitative models of energetics, life history, and population dynamics of SSLs





2005 NMFS Agrees to Complete EIS on SSL Research

- EIS Challenges:
 - How to analyze effects of research?
 - How to analyze cumulative effects?



Analyzing Effects of Research: Risk Assessment Tool

NOAA

TMENT OF

remp	Jiaiy	capu	vity for	evhe	=11110								
		Number of			Estimat mortali rate po	ed ity Predicted er mortalities Mortality							
		animals or procedure-		🦈 🛱 Y 🗗 😨	- 3 ∘ • (*	- 🧶 Σ - 2↓ 🙏 🦓 🛞	Arial	• 10 • B I		s 💷 🖽 • 💁 • 🛕 • 📲			
ctivity	Age class pups	0 Obr	5 A101	6 Antivity									
ansport/holding/release	8	Unc	A	B	C	D	E F	G	H I	-			
	non-pups	25 Obs								-			
nemical sedation (inje-	non-pups	338 Obr	- Hand	lina a	ind s	ampling i	oroce	dures					
		Unc	10			······································		Bred	ated.				
imanent mark/hot bri	non-pups	28 Unc	1					Estimated mort	alities Mortality				
alatively low risk proce	pups	0 Obr	i l		Number of		10.00.00	martalla faun	har auktatal		A		
10.000		Uno	77 Activity	Age class	procedure-	When mortality occurs				- 👷 Σ • 21 %1 🞯 49 🕹 🚪	j Arial	• 10 • B <i>I</i> <u>U</u>	
	non-pups	Unc	Permanent mark/hot				· * * * .						
latively medium risk	pups	0 Obr	78 branding	pups	700	Observed during activity	A101 •	 Activity 		6	e e		
		Uno	13	Adults and		Unopserved/post-capture	A		U U	U	C F	0 1	
	non-pups	Unc	á l	juveniles (non-			Ca	ntura	and re	etraint act	ivitiae	2	
latively high risk proc	pups	0 Obr	80	pups)	300	Observed during activity	57 Va	pluie	anuie	Strann act		2	
24 42 23		Une	81 Relatively low rick		-	Unobserved/post-capture	_					Estimated Predicted	
	non-pups	Unc	82 procedures	pups	5460	Observed during activity						mortality mortalitie	s Mortality
ubtotal for Table 5 - E	Estimated mortality	y due to temporary	83			Unobserved/post-capture			Number of animals			affected of	subtotal
ow risk: blood/Ripper ta	glahisker pullisotop	es/eb/bia/injections/	84	non-pups	12560	Observed during activity Unobserved/post-canture	58 Activity	Age	lass captured	When mortality occurs		animal ¹ animals)	activity Comments
levated risk: implant tra	insmitters, surgerier	sies/includes local a	Relatively medium risk	ĸ			59 Capture/phys	sical restra puj	is 700	Observed during activity		0.000	D permit/trip report review
or text: No risk: swabs/	hair or nail clipping,	temp marks, morph	86 procedures	pups	930	Observed during activity	00	Adults and	a	Onobserve@post-capture		0.001 0.	professional Judgement
			88	non-pups	3230	Observed during activity	1.25	juveniles (r	ion-	to come the			
2 5		ha	89			Unobserved/post-capture	61	pups)	390	Observed during activity		0.002 0.7	8 9 15 professional independent
N\SSL western (SSL eastern / NFS er) / NFS sm / Summan	Relatively high risk				63 Capture/chem	nical anest pur	is 960	Observed during activity	-	0.000 0.03	professional judgement permit/trip report review
			90 procedures	pups	0	Upserved during activity	64 (inhalable age	ent-isoflurane)	0	Unobserved/post-capture		0.001 0.8	6 Formula was off in H65
			92	non-pups	30	Observed during activity	65	non-p	ups 1120	Observed during activity		0.004 4.4	8
			93			Unobserved/post-capture	60 67 Canture/chem	nical anest non-r	009 80	Ohserved/post-capture		0.0001 0.11	2 5.5 professional judgement 4 nermit/kin renort reserved
			94 Subtotal for Table 4	- Estimated mortal	lity due to hand	ling and sampling procedures	68 (injectable)	incur and an	aba oo	Unobserved/post-capture		0.01 0.	6 2.64 permit/trip report review
			96 Medium risk: teeth pu	/l/biopsies/remote bi	opsies/(includes	local anesthesia)	69 Capture/chen	nical sedat non-p	ups 225	Observed during activity		0	0 permit/trip report review
			97 Elevated risk: implant	transmitters, surgeri	ies		70 (injectable-eg	valium)		Unobserved/post-capture		0.0001 0.022	5 0.0225
			98 For text: No risk: swal	bs/hair or nail clippin	ig, temp marks, n	norph measurements, milk sample, e	xter 72	non-p	ups 5	Unobserved/post-capture		1	5 5
			33		-	Contract many in	73 Subtotal for	Table 3 - Estimated	mortality due to capti	ire and restraint activities			14.6
			Tomp	oran	1000	tivity for	74 Caution: perm	nits authorize capture	restraint collectively, so	it is incorrect to list same number expos	ed in both rows		
			H + > H \SSL western,	/ SSL eastern / NFS	ep / NFS sm / Si	ummary /	75	1.0000000	5.7				- I
							Har	ndling	and	ampling p	rocor	luros	
							and the second sec						
					100		76 I I CI I	IGHING		amping p		14100	
							76 1 101	ianig	juniac	amping p		Predicted	
					1	6	76 1141	ianig	Juna	amping p		Predicted Estimated mortalitie	s Mortality
					1	4	76 1141	lanig	Number of	amping p		Predicted Estimated mortalitie mortality (number	s Mortality subtotal
					.0	4	76 TTAT	Anne	Number of procedure	When mortality occurs		Predicted Estimated mortalitie mortality (number rate per procedure animate)	s Mortality subtotal for activity Comments

H ↔ H SSL western / SSL eastern / NFS ep / NFS sm / Summary /

1 1 1 1 1





Capture and Restraint

	Age class	Number of animals captured	Type of effect	Estimated mortality rate per affected animal	Predicted mortalities (number of animals)			
	Inhalable agents - e.g. isoflurane							
	pups	860	Observed mortality during activity	0	0			
			Unobserved/post- capture mortality	0.001	0.86			
	non-pups	1 000	Observed mortality during activity	0.004	4.36			
		1,090	Unobserved/post- capture mortality	0.0001	0.11			



Estimated Mortality Due to Research

Source of mortality	Alternative 2 (No handling)	Alternative 3 (Status Quo)	Alternative 4 (Expanded)
Researcher presence in view of animals	0.9	0.9	4.1
Researcher presence among animals	2.5	5.8	9.8
Capture and restraint		5.6	12.4
Handling and sampling procedures		2.4	3.3
Temporary captivity		0.1	0.2
Total estimated mortality (animals)	3.4	14.8	29.8
Estimated mortality as a percent of PBR (234 animals)	1.45%	6.32%	12.74%



NOAA

ARTMENT OF CO

Analyzing Cumulative Effects

- Environmental Baseline
 - Population listed as endangered
- Direct/Indirect Effects of Research
 - (i.e., risk assessment of capture and restraint)
- Past Effects
 - (i.e., subsistence & entanglement in fishing gear)
- Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions
 - (i.e., subsistence & effects of climate change on prey availability)

Cumulative Effects on Western DPS SSL

ND ATMOSA

NOAA

OSU NATIONAL

~~~		Analysis of Preferred Alternative: Research Program with Full Implementation of Conservation Goals
	Direct Effects of Research	<ul> <li>Mortality 29.8 SSLs/yr (12.7% of PBR¹); minor on population level.</li> <li>Individuals could be disturbed &gt;5-6x/yr; moderate effect.</li> </ul>
	Cumulative Effects (Past+Present+ Future)	<ul> <li>Research contributes 29.8 SSL mortalities/yr.</li> <li>Total mortality² 245/yr (104.9% of PBR¹); major cumulative effect.</li> </ul>





## June 2007 NMFS Issues EIS Record of Decision

- Research permits issued but limited to 2 years' duration
- Record of Decision
  - NMFS stated intent to convene an independent panel to assess SSL research program





URS

Independent Panel Recommendations

- Prior to issuing permits for SSL research, NMFS must address:
  - Is proposed research sound and has it been vetted through scientific peer review?
  - Will findings from proposed research be useful for promoting recovery as determined through scientific peer review with reference to the recovery plan?
  - Are procedures humane; do they represent best animal care and husbandry practice as evaluated by an Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC)?



## **Next Steps**

#### NMFS Actions

- Re-Issuing a Record of Decision in July 2009 to present a new Permit Implementation Plan to address:
  - Improved coordination among researchers
  - Recommend observations of post research effects to gain better understanding of effects of research activities

