

# Climate Change Impacts and Adaptation in the Mining Sector

Sean Capstick, Golder Associates

Climate Change and Risk Management: Infrastructure Case Studies IAIA Sustainable Mega-Infrastructure and Impact Assessment





#### **Climate Change Adaptation**





#### **Industry Recognition of the Problem**

#### Report

Adapting to a changing climate: implications for the mining and metals industry



- Mines are often located in areas with extreme weather and challenging conditions
- ICMM identified a growing awareness that a changing climate and its impacts can affect the mining industry
- Report identifies potential climate impacts and how mining and metals companies can evaluate risks
- Provides available options for adapting to climate change impacts





#### **Typical Mine Life Cycle**





## Incorporating Climate Change into Project Life Cycle

- Climate data is incorporated in most facets of Mining Projects and Infrastructure Design
- Design is generally based on historic climate data
  - Foundation Design
  - Material Specification
  - Tailings Dam Design
  - Outflow structures
  - Dewatering Requirements
  - Power Requirements
  - Water Supply / Water Balance
  - Closure Design
  - Transportation







#### **Risk Based Assessment Tools**

	Climate Factor					
Intrastructure Component	Temperature	Rain	Snow	Wind	Mixed Events	
Stormwater, Wastewater Treatment and Collection Systems	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Water Resource Systems	Y	Y	Y	N	N	
Ground Transportation	N	Y	Y	N	Y	
Buildings and Infrastructure	N	N	N	N	Y	
Environmental Compliance	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	
Biodiversity	Y	Y	N	N	N	
Public Infrastructure	N	N	N	N	Y	



 $R = C \times P$ 

R = Risk C = Consequence P = Probability



#### **Incorporating Climate Change in to ESIA's**





#### Case Study: Meliadine Mine, Rankin Inlet, NU, Canada



- Project located in Canadian Arctic
- Golder completed a ESIA in 2013
- Climate Change impact assessment was an important Technical Supporting Document
- Hearings held in Nunavut in 2014
- Assessment of significance on tailings design and bio-diversity were of particular interest during the review



#### Case Study: Iron Ore Mine, South Eastern Guinea, West Africa





- Project located in a mountain range has an altitudinal gradient of over 1,000 m from lowland to summit
- Surrounded by an area classified under Guinean Law as a Strict Nature Reserve (SNR)
- Assessment of localized micro climate and effects of changes to topography and potential impacts to biodiversity



#### Case Study: Copper Mine, Northern region of Argentina



- Project located at approximately 2,600 m asl in an arid area
- Investigation of meteorological trends that could affect the water balance, especially at closure
- Results showed that projected increases in total precipitation was within historical observations, however pan evaporation is projected to increase
- Availability of water identified as an issue



#### **Operations and Closure**





#### Case Study: Vulnerably Assessment, Sudbury INO ON, Canada





#### **Climate-Related Risk: Adapting to What?**





IPCC, 2013: Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley

(eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.



#### **GCM Output – Scatter Plot**

































#### **The Future is Always Uncertain**







#### **Generating Climate (Precipitation)**



## Water Management





## Economic Models





#### **CBA to Identify Adaptation Uncertainties**







#### Summary of Results – 10 year

10 Year Period		Coping Preferred	Adaptation Preferred		
		Payback Not Achieved	Payback Achieved		
E1	Current Climate	89.5%	10.5%		
	Future Climate	86.4%	13.6%		
E2	Current Climate	13.2%	86.8%		
	Future Climate	1.4%	98.6%		
E3	Current Climate	92.6%	7.4%		
	Future Climate	44.5 <mark>%</mark>	55.5%		
E4	Current Climate	100%	0%		
	Future Climate	100%	0%		
E5	Current Climate	96.6%	3.4%		
	Future Climate	71.8%	28.2%		





#### **Summary of Results 40 year**

39 Year Period		Coping Preferred		Adaptation Preferred			
		Payback Not Achieved		Payback Achieved			
E1	Current Climate		83.1%			16.9%	
	Future Climate		79.2%			20.8%	
E2	Current Climate	0.2%		99.8%			
	Future Climate	0%		100%			
E3	Current Climate		73.8%			26.2%	
	Future Climate		4.3%		95.7%		
Current Climat		100%		0%			
C4	Future Climate		100%		0%		
E5	Current Climate		88.6%		11.4%		
	Future Climate		30.8%			69.2%	





- Climate Change Impact Assessments should:
  - Clearly document both baseline and future climate projections that will be used in the assessment
  - Use a multi model and multiple concentration pathways analysis to describe the range and uncertainties of the future climate projections
  - Clearly identify the Valued Components and climate interactions that are to be considered in the assessment
  - Document the significance assessment for the identified interactions
  - Identify the proposed design features or adaptation measures (mitigation measures) that are proposed
  - Better document Adaptive Management Strategies between coping and adaptation and rational why one is preferred over the other







### Sean\_Capstick@Golder.com

